

Quasi particles in hot QCD

P. Giovannangeli ^{*} and C. P. Korthals Altes ^a

^aCentre Physique Théorique au CNRS, Campus de Luminy,
Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex, France

We show at very high temperature how the behaviour of the spatial 't Hooft loop in the QCD plasma is simply related to the chromo electric flux of the gluons. This simple picture is vindicated by a systematic quasi classical approach. The spatial Wilson loop 's behaviour is computed by a similar nearly free plasma of magnetic quasiparticles. This model predicts unambiguously ratios of multiply charged Wilson loops. Recent simulations confirm these predictions accurately.

1. Introduction

Traditionally plasmas are a state of matter in which electrically charged constituents electrons and ions move - to a good approximation - freely. What we know about the QCD plasma points in the same direction: at extremely high temperatures quarks and gluons move freely. Landau coined the word "quasi-particles" for nearly free collective excitations and we shall adhere to this terminology for quarks and gluons.

In this talk we report on the existence of a third component in a QCD plasma: magnetically charged quasiparticles. They explain the rise with temperature in the surface tension of the spatial Wilson loop. They unambiguously predict the ratios of surface tensions of multiply charged Wilson loops. And these have been verified in numerical simulations to about one percent accuracy.

The next sections explain the idea. In the last section we propose additional tests.

2. The QCD plasma: some basic facts

What the lattice has taught us about the plasma can be summarized for our purposes in the behaviour of two quantities: the Wilson loop and the 't Hooft loop.

As we will be interested in the flux emanating from quasi particles we concentrate on the space like loops. In the plasma phase we have screening. This does exclude area law behaviour for time

like, but not for spacelike loops.

The space-like Wilson loop measures the magnetic flux. For an SU(N) group one can distinguish multiply charged loops: $W_k(L)$. k is the number of quarks modulo N needed to build the representation used in the loop. For the arealaw only $k \bmod N$ matters. This follows basically because of the relationship between Wilson and 't Hooft loops that loop each other once [1]:

$$V_{k'}(L')W_k(L)V_{k'}^\dagger(L') = \exp ikk' \frac{2\pi}{N} W_k(L). \quad (1)$$

The integer k' is the charge of the 't Hooft loop, i.e. the discontinuity across the surface spanned by L is $\exp ik' \frac{2\pi}{N}$. An eventual area law will only depend on the centergroup appearing in this commutation relation.

Now the facts. The tension ρ of the Wilson loop in SU(3) (and earlier SU(2)) has been measured to be flat in the cold phase [6], and to start growing at about $T = 2T_c$ like one expects in the three dimensional reduced magnetic QCD, where only one scale, the magnetic scale g^2T survives

$$\rho(T) \sim (g^2T)^2. \quad (2)$$

The magnetic mass, defined as the screening mass in the force law between two heavy magnetic monopoles [3] is non-zero at $T = 0$ [1] and stays constant [3] till about $T = 2T_c$ and then follows again a behaviour as expected in the 3d theory mentioned above.

The 't Hooft loop has been measured only recently in SU(2), near $T = T_c$ [8]. It shows an area

^{*}Talk given by C. P. Korthals Altes

law $\exp -\sigma(T)A$, as expected from semiclassical considerations [2] at high T. The tension obtains from tunneling from a minimum where the Wilson line $P = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp i \int d\tau A_0$ has the trivial value $P = 1$ to a $Z(N)$ symmetry conjugated minimum where the value is $P = \exp ik \frac{2\pi}{N}$. We get a tension $\sigma_k(T) \sim k(N-k)\sigma_1$ for the multiply charged loop, up and including two loop order. For the singly charged 't Hooft loop one has [2]:

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{4\pi^2 T^2 (N-1)}{3\sqrt{3}g^2(T)N} (1 - (11.9905) \frac{g^2(T)N}{(4\pi)^2} + O(g^4)). \quad (3)$$

No dynamical quarks are involved in these simulations. Their implementation is quite difficult, and notably area behaviour persists as a meta stable state even when perimeter behaviour is the stable state as in the case of time like Wilson loops.

3. Explanation of area law behaviour of 't Hooft loop with gluonic quasi particles

The 't Hooft loop measures the colour electric flux of physical states:

$$V_1(L') = \exp i \frac{4\pi}{N} \int_{S(L')} \text{Tr} \vec{E} Y_1 d\vec{S} \quad (4)$$

where Y_1 is the familiar hypercharge, an $N \times N$ traceless diagonal matrix with the first $N-1$ entries equal one and the last entry equal to $-N+1$. This operator (4) is in the physical subspace of Hilbert space a correct representation of the usual definition as a gauge transformation with a discontinuity $\exp i \frac{2\pi}{N}$ across the area $S(L')$.

For the k times charged 't Hooft loop we have

$$V_k(L') = \exp i \frac{4\pi}{N} \int_{S(L')} \text{Tr} \vec{E} Y_k d\vec{S} \quad (5)$$

with Y_k diagonal and traceless with $N-k$ times k and k times $N-k$ on the diagonal. Note that we do not take kY_1 because that would have given $k\sigma_1$ and from the semiclassical calculation we know [4] the correct answer is $k(N-k)\sigma_1$. The matrix Y_k is closer by from the tunneling point of view.

The flux is provided by the gluonic quasi particles in the adjoint representation. They have

hypercharge $0, \pm N$, as follows from the adjoint representation of Y_1 . The hypercharge Y_k in the adjoint rep. has $k(N-k)$ entries with N and an equal number with $-N$ the rest being zero.

Now the contribution to the 't Hooft loop due to one gluon is 1 if it has no charge and -1 if it has charge. This is readily seen from the commutation relation with the Wilson loop in the adjoint representation. We simplify by assuming the gluon contributes only within a thin slab of thickness l_D , the Debye screening length.

To calculate the average one has to know the thermal distribution $P(l)$ of the gluons inside the slab. Due to $SU(N)$ global symmetry $P(l)$ is the same for all species of gluons. The average of the loop becomes :

$$\langle V_k \rangle = \exp(-2k(N-k)\gamma w(T)). \quad (6)$$

$w(T) = c\bar{l}$ is the width of the distribution and since it thermodynamic the width is proportional to the mean number $\bar{l}$ of gluons in the slab. And the latter equals $l_D L^2 n(T)$, n the density of one gluonic species. The constant γ is a geometric factor correcting for the simplifying assumption that a gluon only contributes flux if within the Debye length. The factor $2k(N-k)$ follows from counting the gluons with charge $\pm N$ in the adjoint representation of Y_k .

So we found the area law, and how it depends on the charge k of the loop. The constant c in the thermodynamic distribution and the geometric factor γ do clearly not depend on k . k and $k+N$ give the same operator. This periodicity, together with charge conjugation invariance, $k \rightarrow -k$, explains the k -dependence.

4. Magnetic quasi particles as an explanation for the rise in the Wilson loop

The Wilson loop does not admit a quasi classical calculation. But the rise in the tension in the hot phase does clearly indicate there is additional magnetic activity. Taking heart from our previous calculation we are going to assume the simplest possibility: in the plasma phase there are screened (screening length l_M) magnetic charges with a density n_M such that $l_M^3 n_M \ll 1$. If so these charges are behaving like a free gas. We

must decide on the internal degrees of freedom of the charges. At very high T the monopole gas reduces to a gas of lumps in the local action density of $d=3$ $SU(N)$ gauge theory. The average action density is known to scale like $N^2 - 1$. So the simplest guess is that the monopoles are in the adjoint representation of a magnetic $SU(N)$ group. This is consistent with the results of Goddard et al. [10] for classical non-abelian monopoles. Of course our magnetic quasi particles are far from classical, they are collective excitations of the plasma.

This magnetic group needs only to be global to have the same distribution law for all $N^2 - 1$ magnetic quasiparticle species.

Arguing as above for the gluon gas, we find now that the Wilson tension in a loop built from a representation with k quarks will behave like:

$$\rho_k = dk(N - k)l_M n_M \quad (7)$$

and the constant drops out in the ratio

$$\frac{\rho_k}{\rho_1} = \frac{k(N - k)}{(N - 1)} \quad (8)$$

From the data by Boyd et al. [6] we know that $l_M n_M \sim g^4 T^2$ or $n_M \sim l_M^{-1} T^2 = g^6 T^3$. Thus the magnetic quasi particles do not show up in the Stefan Boltzmann limit. Their density being $(\frac{T}{\log T})^3$, they must have residual interactions, that we neglected in obtaining eq.(7).

Lucini and Teper [7] checked the ratios (8) for Wilson loops and found very good agreement for $SU(4)$ and $SU(6)$, within about a percent.

5. Prospects

Although the results for the ratios are very encouraging (the $SU(5)$ case is under study right now [5]), we would like to get the constants mentioned above, the ratio of screening length to interparticle distance and an idea about the interactions.

An obvious task is to understand eventual $d=3$ local action lumps. Some ten years ago [9] Duncan and Mawhinney found, after cooling, lumpy behaviour of the action density in the $SU(2)$ case. It is imperative to understand and quantify this

behaviour, either by lattice means or by understanding the transition from the semi classically accessible Higgs phase to the unbroken $SU(2)$ system by decoupling the Higgs. The numerical results [9] seem to indicate that the 't Hooft Polyakov monopoles delocalize in terms of the lattice length, when decoupling the Higgs. An analysis of the continuum limit is in order.

In the presence of dynamical fermions, spatial Wilson loops should behave qualitatively the same in the hot phase. This is due to the quarks being Dirac compatible with the magnetic quasi particles. Since it is the magnetic component that is responsible for the area law we expect no qualitative change. In the cold phase the tension disappears, whereas the 't Hooft loop acquires a tension [2].

Presently we are calculating the $O(g^4)$ term in the 't Hooft loop, eq.(4). Indications are that it again behaves as $k(N - k)$. It may be that this ratio is constant in the deconfined phase.

The early onset (at $T \sim 2T_c$) of the rise of the Wilson loop tension [6] suggests the new component may improve the behaviour of the pressure resulting from only the gluonic component.

REFERENCES

1. G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B138 (1978) 1.
2. T. Bhattacharya et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1992) 998; C. P. Korthals Altes et al., Phys. Lett. B469 (1999) 205, hep-ph/9909516.
3. Ch. Hoelbling et al., Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 034506, hep-lat/0003010.
4. P. Giovannangeli et al., Nucl. Phys. B608 (2001) 203, hep-ph/0102022.
5. L. Del Debbio, A. Di Giacomo, B. Lucini, Phys. Lett. B500 (2001) 326, hep-lat/0011048.
6. G. Boyd et al., Nucl. Phys. B496 (1996) 419.
7. B. Lucini, M. Teper, hep-lat/0107007, and this volume.
8. P. de Forcrand, M. D'Elia, M. Pepe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 438.
9. A. Duncan, R.D. Mawhinney, Phys. Lett. B282 (1992) 423.
10. P. Goddard, J. Nuyts, D.I. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B125 (1977) 1.